

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/815,985 | Applicant(s) RABIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Jeremiah Avery | Art Unit 2131 | |

All Participants:

(1) Jeremiah Avery.

(2) Giovanna H. Fessenden Reg. No. 60,264.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 June 2007

Time: 11:03am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 26 and 50

Prior art documents discussed:

United States Patent No. 7,043,636 to Smeets


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Ms. Fessenden with regards to drafting an Examiner's Amendment for claim 1 in order to further prosecution and place the patent application in condition for allowance. The Examiner recommended adding the steps from claim 26 (that detail how "using the superfingerprints of the protected software to identify the executing unidentified software" is performed) into claim 1 in order to further clarify the method found within claim 1. After conferring with the Applicants, Ms. Fessenden stated that the proposed Examiner's Amendment has been authorized..